



ERPANET



*erpa*training

## Copenhagen OAIS Seminar Feedback Report

<b>Project acronym:</b>	ERPANET	<b>Contract nr.</b>	IST-2001-32706
<b>Title of Document:</b>	Copenhagen OAIS Seminar Feedback Report		

<b>Date Prepared:</b>	15 <sup>th</sup> January 2003		
<b>File Name:</b>	Feedback_Report_Copenhagen.doc	<b>Version</b> draft <input type="checkbox"/> final <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Date of issue:</b>	15 <sup>th</sup> January 2003		
<b>Partner (1-4):</b>	1: UofGlasgow	<b>Responsible Director:</b>	Seamus Ross
<b>Primary Author: (add phone, fax &amp; email)</b>	Monica Greenan	T: +44 (0)141 330 3549 F: +44 (0)141 330 3788 E: <a href="mailto:british.editor@erpanet.org">british.editor@erpanet.org</a>	
<b>Distribution:</b>	External		
<b>Document Status:</b>	FINAL		
<b>Action Required:</b>	Dissemination		
<b>Publication:</b>	Available on full website		
<b>Classification Code:</b>			

### Revision History


Date	Version	Revision	Author
15.01.2003	1.0	Creation	Monica Greenan

Participants present on the final day of the Seminar were asked to fill in a feedback form and to make comments about their experiences of the workshop. Forty participants filled in their responses.


### Feedback About the Seminar

This section of the form asked participants to grade certain aspects of the Seminar. They used a scale ranging one to five, where one = Poor and five = Excellent.


#### 1. How effective were the speakers?




#### 2. How would you rate the structure of the seminar?





### 3. How useful was the background documentation?




### 4. How would you rate the organisation of the event?



**5. How well did you feel that the seminar addressed the main topic?****6. Was the cost acceptable?**

## 7. Did this seminar meet your expectations?



Those participants that answered 'No' were asked to provide details, and some of the responses included:

- not enough about implementation experiences
- too much focus on library issues and not enough on archival ones
- own lack of personal preparation
- not enough technical detail

## 8. What will you be able to take from this seminar back to your own organisations?

Responses included:

- Information from other projects and developments in this area
- It takes a long time to fully understand the OAIS model
- Insight into standardisation and implementations
- New ideas to consider about the model
- Better understanding and sensibility of the underlying issues
- Reassurance that many others share the same problems and concerns

## 9. What else would you liked to have seen covered at this seminar?

Responses included:

- More of an archival perspective
- More hands-on experience and implementation examples
- Preservation of complex information such as databases
- Products

### 10. What did you like best about this seminar?

The responses focused on predominantly the implementation examples and experiences, as well as the breakout discussions.


### 11. What did you like least?



Some participants indicated that the handout should have been made available in advance for them to read and be better prepared. The main problems lay in the physical conditions, and some were unhappy with the uncomfortable chairs, difficult to view screen, and the coffee. Others had problems with the breakout group size and would have preferred smaller groups of 10 or so people.


### About the Participants

This section was designed to gain insight into the participants themselves, focussing on their profession, affiliations, and reasons for attending the seminar.

### 12. What do you work as?



**13. What type of institution do you work for?****14. How did you hear about this seminar?**

**15. What motivated you to attend?****16. Are you likely to attend other ERPANET events in the future?**