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Participants present on the final day of the Seminar were asked to fill in a feedback form and to make comments about their experiences of the workshop. Forty participants filled in their responses.

**Feedback About the Seminar**
This section of the form asked participants to grade certain aspects of the Seminar. They used a scale ranging one to five, where one = Poor and five = Excellent.

1. **How effective were the speakers?**

   ![Bar chart showing the distribution of ratings for the speakers.]

2. **How would you rate the structure of the seminar?**

   ![Bar chart showing the distribution of ratings for the seminar structure.]
3. How useful was the background documentation?

![Background documentation chart]

4. How would you rate the organisation of the event?

![Organisation of Seminar chart]
5. How well did you feel that the seminar addressed the main topic?

How well did the Seminar address the main topic?

[Bar chart showing percentage of participants' ratings from 1 to 5]

6. Was the cost acceptable?

Was the Cost Acceptable?

[Bar chart showing percentage of participants' responses: Yes, No, Don't Know]
7. Did this seminar meet your expectations?

![Graph showing seminar feedback]

Those participants that answered 'No' were asked to provide details, and some of the responses included:

- not enough about implementation experiences
- too much focus on library issues and not enough on archival ones
- own lack of personal preparation
- not enough technical detail

8. What will you be able to take from this seminar back to your own organisations?

Responses included:

- Information from other projects and developments in this area
- It takes a long time to fully understand the OAIS model
- Insight into standardisation and implementations
- New ideas to consider about the model
- Better understanding and sensibility of the underlying issues
- Reassurance that many others share the same problems and concerns

9. What else would you liked to have seen covered at this seminar?

Responses included:

- More of an archival perspective
- More hands-on experience and implementation examples
- Preservation of complex information such as databases
- Products
10. What did you like best about this seminar?
The responses focused on predominantly the implementation examples and experiences, as well as the breakout discussions.

11. What did you like least?
Some participants indicated that the handout should have been made available in advance for them to read and be better prepared. The main problems lay in the physical conditions, and some were unhappy with the uncomfortable chairs, difficult to view screen, and the coffee. Others had problems with the breakout group size and would have preferred smaller groups of 10 or so people.

About the Participants
This section was designed to gain insight into the participants themselves, focussing on their profession, affiliations, and reasons for attending the seminar.

12. What do you work as?
13. What type of institution do you work for?
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14. How did you hear about this seminar?
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15. What motivated you to attend?

![Motivation to Attend](chart)

16. Are you likely to attend other ERPANET events in the future?
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